## Task space controller for the novel Active Ankle

## Introduction

Active Ankle is a novel parallel manipulator with three degrees of freedom that operates in an almost-spherical manner [1, 2]. The almost-spherical parallel manipulator (ASPM) is primarily intended as an actuated ankle joint in a full-body exoskeleton for rehabilitation application (Fig. 3).

## Design features

1. lightweight and robust construction
2. modular design leading to low link diversity
3. high stiffness and orientation accuracy
4. high payload capacity
5. no torques required for loads along torsional axis


Fig.3: Active Ankle with foot unit
Fig.4: Scheme, $r=d=35, I=100$.

## Control challenge

Due to spatial behaviour but spherical use case of the Active Ankle, the task space control of this mechanism asks for a joint configuration for a given orientation from $S O(3)$, instead of a pose from $S E(3)$ [3].

## Inverse Geometric Model (IGM)

The Inverse Geometric Model (IGM) is a solution to the problem of finding input joint angles $\left[q_{x}, q_{y}, q_{z}\right]$ for a specific end-effector pose $\mathbf{P}_{E}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}\mathbf{s} & \mathbf{n} & \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{e} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right] \in S E(3)$, denoted as $\left[q_{x}, q_{y}, q_{z}\right]=\operatorname{IGM}\left(\mathbf{P}_{E}\right), \quad \mathbf{P}_{E} \in S E(3)$

## Crank \& endeffector points

The crank points ( $\mathbf{c}_{1}, \mathbf{c}_{2}, \mathbf{c}_{3}, \mathbf{c}_{4}, \mathbf{C}_{5}, \mathbf{c}_{6}$ ) are allowed to move on the circles defined by the motion of three actuators. The end effector points $\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}, \mathbf{e}_{4}, \mathbf{e}_{5}, \mathbf{e}_{6}\right)$ lie on a sphere of radius $d$ and center $\mathbf{e}$.

The point parametrizations (CPL \& EPL) are $\mathbf{c}_{1}=\left[0, r \cos \left(q_{x}\right), I+r \sin \left(q_{x}\right)\right]^{\top} \quad \mathbf{e}_{1}=\mathbf{e}+d \cdot \mathbf{n}$ $\mathbf{c}_{2}=\left[0, r \cos \left(q_{x}\right), l-r \sin \left(q_{x}\right)\right]^{T}$ $\mathbf{c}_{3}=\left[I+r \sin \left(q_{y}\right), 0, r \cos \left(q_{y}\right)\right]^{T}$ $\mathbf{c}_{4}=\left[I-r \sin \left(q_{y}\right), 0, r \cos \left(q_{y}\right)\right]^{T}$ $\mathbf{c}_{5}=\left[r \cos \left(q_{z}\right), I+r \sin \left(q_{z}\right), 0\right]^{\top}$ $\mathbf{c}_{6}=\left[r \cos \left(q_{z}\right), l-r \sin \left(q_{z}\right), 0\right]^{T}$
$\mathbf{e}_{2}=\mathbf{e}-d \cdot \mathbf{n}$
$\mathbf{e}_{3}=\mathbf{e}+d \cdot \mathbf{s}$
$\mathbf{e}_{4}=\mathbf{e}-d \cdot \mathbf{s}$
$\mathbf{e}_{5}=\mathbf{e}+d \cdot \mathbf{a}$
$\mathbf{e}_{6}=\mathbf{e}-d \cdot \mathbf{a}$

## Constraint equations

Expansion of contraint equations $\left\|\mathbf{e}_{i}-\mathbf{c}_{i}\right\|=I$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(e_{x}+d \cdot n_{x}\right)^{2}+\left(e_{y}+d \cdot n_{y}-r \cdot \cos q_{x}\right)^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$+\left(e_{z}+d \cdot n_{z}-l-r \cdot \sin q_{x}\right)^{2}=l^{2}$
$\left(e_{x}-d \cdot n_{x}\right)^{2}+\left(e_{y}-d \cdot n_{y}+r \cdot \cos q_{x}\right)^{2}$ $+\left(e_{z}-d \cdot n_{z}-l+r \cdot \sin q_{x}\right)^{2}=l^{2}$
$\left(e_{x}+d \cdot a_{x}-l-r \cdot \sin q_{y}\right)^{2}+\left(e_{y}+d \cdot a_{y}\right)^{2}$ $+\left(e_{z}+d \cdot a_{z}-r \cdot \cos q_{y}\right)^{2}=l^{2}$
$\left(e_{x}-d \cdot a_{x}-l+r \cdot \sin q_{y}\right)^{2}+\left(e_{y}-d \cdot a_{y}\right)^{2}$ $+\left(e_{z}-d \cdot a_{z}+r \cdot \cos q_{y}\right)^{2}=l^{2}$
$\left(e_{x}+d \cdot s_{x}-r \cdot \cos q_{z}\right)^{2}+\left(e_{y}+d \cdot s_{y}-1\right.$
$\left.-r \cdot \sin q_{z}\right)^{2}+\left(e_{z}+d \cdot s_{z}\right)^{2}=l^{2}$
$\left(e_{x}-d \cdot s_{x}+r \cdot \cos q_{z}\right)^{2}+\left(e_{y}-d \cdot s_{y}-1\right.$
$\left.+r \cdot \sin q_{z}\right)^{2}+\left(e_{z}-d \cdot s_{z}\right)^{2}=l^{2}$

## Three virtual leg equations

By subtracting (2) from (1), (4) from (3), (6) from (5), three virtual leg equations are derived
$r e_{y} \cos \left(q_{x}\right)+r\left(e_{z}-l\right) \sin \left(q_{x}\right)+d\left(l n_{z}-\mathbf{e} * \mathbf{n}\right)=0$
$r e_{z} \cos \left(q_{y}\right)+r\left(e_{x}-l\right) \sin \left(q_{y}\right)+d\left(l a_{x}-\mathbf{e} * \mathbf{a}\right)=0$
$r e_{x} \cos \left(q_{z}\right)+r\left(e_{y}-l\right) \sin \left(q_{z}\right)+d\left(l_{y}-\mathbf{e} * \mathbf{s}\right)=0$ With leg index $j \in\{1,2,3\}$, they are of the form $E_{j} \cdot \cos \left(q_{j}\right)+F_{j} \cdot \sin \left(q_{j}\right)+G_{j}=0$

## IGM Solution

By tangent half angle substitution $t_{j}=\tan \left(q_{j} / 2\right), \cos q_{j}=$ $\left(1-t_{j}^{2}\right) /\left(1+t_{j}^{2}\right)$, $\sin q_{j}=2 t_{j} /\left(1+t_{j}^{2}\right)$, the equation

$$
\left(G_{j}-E_{j}\right) \cdot t_{j}^{2}+2 \cdot F_{j} \cdot t_{j}+\left(G_{j}+E_{j}\right)=0
$$

in $t$ is obtained. The two solutions for $q_{j}$ are given by

$$
q_{j+}, q_{j-}=2 \cdot \operatorname{atan} 2\left(-F_{j} \pm H_{j}, G_{j}-E_{j}\right)
$$

with $H_{j}=\sqrt{E_{j}^{2}+F_{j}^{2}-G_{j}^{2}}$, see [3].

## Rotative Inverse Geometric Model (RIGM)

Rotative Inverse Geometric Model (RIGM) is to find input joint angles for a desired orientation of the endeffector $\mathbf{R}_{E}$ without knowledge of the end-effector position as

$$
\left[q_{x}, q_{y}, q_{z}\right]=\operatorname{RIGM}\left(\mathbf{R}_{E}\right), \quad \mathbf{R}_{E} \in S O(3)
$$

## RIGM solution

Equations (1) - (6) are highly coupled. A novel iterative algorithm has been developed which can be explained by the concept of virtual joints. The method TFGM implements a three-sphere intersection to solve for e [3].

Algorithm 1 Rotative Inverse Geometric Model (RIGM)
(in) Desired orientation of the end effector, $\mathbf{R}_{E}$
(out) Input joint angles $\left[q_{x}, q_{y}, q_{z}\right]$ and EE shift $\left[e_{x}, e_{y}, e_{z}\right]$ function $\operatorname{RIGM}\left(\mathbf{R}_{E}, \epsilon\right)$


$\left(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1} \ldots \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{6}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{EPL}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{E}\right)$
$\left(\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{1} \ldots \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{6}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{EPL}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{E}\right)$
$\left[\tilde{q}_{x}, \tilde{q}_{y}, \tilde{q}_{z}\right] \leftarrow \operatorname{IGM}\left(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{E}\right)$
$\left(\tilde{\mathbf{c}}_{1} \ldots \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_{6}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{CPL}\left(\tilde{q}_{x}, \tilde{q}_{y}, \tilde{q}_{z}\right)$
$E_{L S} \leftarrow \sum_{i}^{6}\left(\left\|\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{i}-\tilde{\mathbf{c}}_{i}\right\|-l\right)^{2}$
$\tilde{\mathbf{e}} \leftarrow \operatorname{TFGM}\left(\tilde{q}_{x}, \tilde{q}_{y}, \tilde{q}_{z}, \mathbf{R}_{E}\right)$
$\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{E} \leftarrow\left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathbf{R}_{E} & \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_{3 \times 1} \\ \mathbf{0}_{1 \times 3} & 1\end{array}\right]$
$\triangleright$ Error
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{0}_{1 \times 3} & 1\end{array}\right]$
$\left.q_{x}, q_{y}, q_{z}\right]$$\left[\tilde{q}_{x}, \tilde{q}_{y}, \tilde{q}_{z}\right]$
$\left[e_{x}, e_{y}, e_{z}\right] \leftarrow\left[\tilde{e}_{x}, \tilde{e}_{y}, \tilde{e}_{z}\right]$
return $\left[q_{x}, q_{y}, q_{z}, e_{x}, e_{y}, e_{z}\right]$

## Range of motion (ROM) comparison

During most activities of daily living, only partial ranges of motion required [4], e.g, $10^{\circ}-15^{\circ}$ plantar flexion and $10^{\circ}$ dorsiflexion for walking on even surfaces, walking upstairs ( $37^{\circ}$ total ROM), walking downstairs ( $56^{\circ}$ total ROM) .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Fig.1: Comparison of ROM between human and Active Ankle. } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

## Experimental results

RIGM has been implemented for a task space control of the Active Ankle. For $\epsilon=1 . e^{-06} \mathrm{~mm}$, the algorithm can be realized at a control frequency of 10 kHz [3].


## Inverse Kinematics

The three virtual leg equations (7) can be differentiated with respect to time and can be rearranged as a relation between twist $(\mathbf{t})$ and actuated joint velocities $(\dot{\mathbf{q}})$ through serial (B) and parallel (A) Jacobian matrices:

$$
\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{t}=\mathbf{B} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}}
$$

The solution of the Inverse Kinematics problem requires: $\dot{\mathbf{q}}=\mathbf{B}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{t}$


Fig.7: Cascaded task-space position and velocity control using RIGM and IK

## Task-space cascaded control

The joint-based FPGA stacks implement cascaded position, velocity and torque control. An equivalent control framework is envisioned in 3-DoF spherical task space which makes it a compact and versatile rehabilitation device.


Fig.8: Cascaded task-space control scheme: a combination of desired orientation $\left(\mathbf{R}_{E}\right)$, angular velocity $(\omega)$ and moments $(\mathbf{m})$ in $S O(3)$ can be the inputs.

## Conclusions

The novel Active Ankle mechanism is briefly presented along with relevant geometric and kinematic models for its control in task-space. In the future, the cascaded task-space control framework will be equipped with torque control.
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